

## Lindsey Ozbolt

---

**From:** Peggy Michael Reddy <reddy@benefits-consulting.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 20, 2017 11:03 AM  
**To:** 'ELST Master Plan'  
**Cc:** Lindsey Ozbolt  
**Subject:** RE: 170119 ELST South Samm B - Reddy - ROW

Please unlock this file so that I can print it for my records!

---

**From:** ELST Master Plan [mailto:ELST@kingcounty.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2017 10:57 AM  
**To:** reddy@benefits-consulting.com  
**Cc:** lozbolt@sammamish.us  
**Subject:** 170119 ELST South Samm B - Reddy - ROW

Dear Ms. Reddy,

Thank you for your interest in the East Lake Sammamish Trail Project. Please see the attached regarding your emails from January 17 and January 18, 2017. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

Kelly Donahue  
Community Engagement

King County Department of Natural Resources  
201 South Jackson Street, Suite 700  
Seattle, WA 98104-3854  
Project Hotline: [1-888-668-4886](tel:1-888-668-4886)

## Lindsey Ozbolt

---

**From:** Peggy Reddy <reddy@benefits-consulting.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2017 11:39 AM  
**To:** ELST Master Plan  
**Cc:** Lindsey Ozbolt  
**Subject:** Re: 170119 ELST South Samm B - Reddy - ROW

Hi thanks but what is the resolution? King County stakes appear to be within the purchased ROW. Can we please have a conversation?

Sent from my iPhone  
Peggy Reddy  
206.484.4845

On Jan 19, 2017, at 10:56 AM, ELST Master Plan <[ELST@kingcounty.gov](mailto:ELST@kingcounty.gov)> wrote:

Dear Ms. Reddy,

Thank you for your interest in the East Lake Sammamish Trail Project. Please see the attached regarding your emails from January 17 and January 18, 2017. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

Kelly Donahue  
Community Engagement

King County Department of Natural Resources  
201 South Jackson Street, Suite 700  
Seattle, WA 98104-3854  
Project Hotline: [1-888-668-4886](tel:1-888-668-4886)

<170119 ELST South Samm B - Reddy - ROW.pdf>



**King County**

**Parks and Recreation Division**  
Department of Natural Resources and Parks



January 19, 2017

Dear Ms. Reddy,

Thank you for your interest in the East Lake Sammamish Trail. Please see your comments, as well as the King County response below. Let me know if you have any questions.

**Comment:** Below is an email that I sent to King County informing them that I think they have misplaced the boundary stakes placed within my property boundary. King County has public records showing that I purchased that portion of the Burlington Northern ROW from the Land Conservancy in 1997 prior to the County's purchase of the trail ROW. I bought the entire length of the ROW from the Conservancy abutting my property – I believe approximately 250 feet by 25 feet. Should I go down to King County and find the actual recorded deed? Kindly advise if I need to take action since there has been no additional information from the County. Note too that I have had the property surveyed on at least two separate occasions with the most recent one attached shown with the "ROW Purchase and Survey" attached. What's confusing is that either the County in the legal description of Parcel B in the deed or the Surveyor made a mistake as to boundary descriptions; however, the error in the description has no bearing on the legitimacy of the purchase from the Land Conservancy of Burlington Northern ROW.

**Comment:** Attached are confirming documents from the survey principal as to the boundary line adjustments made in 1999 (not 1997 as previously reported by me) and the Quit Claim Deed with regard my purchase of the Burlington Northern ROW (approximately not less than 50 feet by 250 feet) adjacent to my property. There is no dispute on the location of the boundary stakes, merely that the County has its stakes marking the ROW for the trail within the boundary to my property. Please check your records and confirm the correct boundaries and remove the stakes inside my property before future work commences.

**King County Response:** Thank you for your emails, Ms. Reddy. We are aware of your purchase, and the survey should reflect that.

If you have any other questions or concerns regarding this trail, please feel free to contact the project hotline at 1-888-668-4886 or [ELST@kingcounty.gov](mailto:ELST@kingcounty.gov). You may also visit the project [website](#), King County Park's [blog](#), and our [Twitter](#) page for up-to-date information on this and other projects.

Sincerely,

Kelly Donahue  
Community Engagement

King County Department of Natural Resources  
201 South Jackson Street, Suite 700  
Seattle, WA 98104-3854  
Project Hotline: 1-888-668-4886

Fwd: Re: Trail!! PLEASE READ before your retreat Friday....

Jeffrey Thomas

Thu 1/19/2017 11:31 PM

To: David Pyle <DPyle@sammamish.us>; Lindsey Ozbolt <LOzbolt@sammamish.us>;

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Ramiro Valderrama-Aramayo <RValderrama-Aramayo@sammamish.us>

Date: Jan 19, 2017 10:10 PM

Subject: Re: Trail!! PLEASE READ before your retreat Friday....

To: Mike Parrott <mparrott@costco.com>, Kathleen Huckabay <KHuckabay@sammamish.us>, Tom Hornish <THornish@sammamish.us>, Don Gerend <dgerend@sammamish.us>, Bob Keller <BKeller@sammamish.us>, Christie Malchow <CMalchow@sammamish.us>, Tom Odell <todell@sammamish.us>

Cc: Lyman Howard <lhoward@sammamish.us>, Jessi Bon <JBon@sammamish.us>, Jeffrey Thomas <JThomas@sammamish.us>, Angie Feser <AFeser@sammamish.us>

Thanks Mike for sharing this input. I have copied the City Manger and staff so they have your comments added to the information provided for KC Parks to answer at the 60% update that is being scheduled. Please keep us informed of any additional information and updates on your side.

Ramiro Valderrama  
City Council Sammamish

---

**From:** Mike Parrott <mparrott@costco.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2017 7:45 PM

**To:** Kathleen Huckabay; Tom Hornish; Don Gerend; Bob Keller; Christie Malchow; Tom Odell; Ramiro Valderrama-Aramayo

**Cc:** Lyman Howard

**Subject:** Trail!! PLEASE READ before your retreat Friday....

Council Members,

I know you are having a retreat tomorrow, and understand that the Trail is on your agenda for discussion.

First, know that we understand the process for feedback, and not only will we respond to Lindsey Ozbolt before Jan 27th, but will do so as a "neighborhood" vs. as individuals, to assist Lindsey in processing the many pieces of feedback she is likely to receive. However, in advance of that collective feedback, I wanted to give you a "heads up" on several issues in the "60% plan" that should be quite useful for your discussion tomorrow. Collectively, these points are outrageous and point toward your inability to consciously support the permitting of the trail without significant changes.

The "neighborhood" consists of the Sammamish citizens in the 2200-2300 block of East Lake Sammamish PL SE (roughly 700 linear feet of frontage along the trail), ALL of whom have properties that have homes and docks separated by the trail. These are some of the the most significant issues with the 60% plan:

- The current 60% plan does not allow for replacing any gates to access the trail or our lakeside docks. In essence the current plan is to eliminate the lakeside/our dock access altogether!!
- The current 60% plan eliminates the fence on the west side/lakeside of the trail along our properties with no plan to replace it after construction! This area has a steep dropoff.
- The current 60% plan eliminates completely 4 of the 7 the back lawns, installation new "wetland areas" in their place. This is comical, really, since these areas represent areas that are dry as a bone and require irrigation (private sprinklers currently) to maintain ANY kind of landscaping! In fact, this appears to be the ONLY place along the entire trail where a wetland has been added to replace the many areas of true wetland destroyed in other areas along the trail corridor.
- The current 60% plan places a rest area in the back yard of one neighbor!
- The current 60% plan offers absolutely no access to our 7 docks (even 2-3 temporary crossings) during what might turn out to be 2 summers of construction, thereby cutting off access to our docks.

Again, this is not our formal or comprehensive feedback that will be provided to Lindsey collectively....but hopefully this gives you some insight into the significance of the issues with the current plan.

We'd like to host you collectively or individually to see this for yourselves, at your earliest convenience.

I hope you have a good retreat and I really appreciate your representation in our significant issues with the trail that stand to significantly impact our property values and quality of our family's lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

**Mike Parrott**

Vice President/GMM

Costco Wholesale



# RE: Question on 60% ELST

Lindsey Ozbolt

Mon 1/23/2017 9:13 AM

To: Peter Hartmaier <Peter.Hartmaier@Frontier.com>;

Dear Mr. [Hartmaier](#),

Thank you for contacting the City of Sammamish regarding the current Shoreline Substantial Development Permit Application for East Lake Sammamish Trail Segment 2B (SSDP2016-00415).

Your comments have been received and will be included in the project record. At the close of the comment period, all comments will be compiled and provided to King County for review and response. You will be included in future notices the City issues for this proposal.

Regards,

[Lindsey Ozbolt](#)

Associate Planner | City of Sammamish | Department of Community Development  
425.295.0527

---

**From:** Peter Hartmaier [mailto:Peter.Hartmaier@Frontier.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2017 11:49 AM

**To:** Lindsey Ozbolt <LOzbolt@sammamish.us>

**Cc:** 'Shelly Bowman' <shellybowman@hotmail.com>; jetremble@comcast.net; 'Jan' <birdandcat@aol.com>

**Subject:** Question on 60% ELST

We can see that the trail right of way (R/W) intersects existing structures:

- North of Sta 327+ it seems to go into the water and include some docks
- Around Sta 347+ the clearing and grubbing line avoids existing structures inside the R/W
- Around Sta 353+ and Sta 354+ the R/W goes through an existing wooden house
- North from Sta 391+ there are structures inside the R/W
- etc

I understand that some of this is encroachment, and some is permitted. Also, we see the CG limits sometimes deliberately jog around existing structures. Does that mean these are properly permitted? Or has some compromise been reached?

My question then is:

- can encroachments and permitted areas be distinctly marked on the drawing

The follow up question is then:

- How is everything going to be “made right”? (i.e. let’s clear up all these questions now, once and for all so going forward there is well documented drawings about who owns what)

Pete Hartmaier  
(425) 785-1619